UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/816,452	03/31/2004	Jonathan C. Roberts	01374-294	9248	
	7590 03/13/200 N, COHN, FERRIS,	EXAMINER			
GLOVSKY and One Financial o	I POPEO, P.C.	SEREBOFF, NEAL			
Boston, MA 02		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3626		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/13/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summers		Ap	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10	0/816,452		ROBERTS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			aminer		Art Unit				
			EAL R. SEREE		3626				
The MA Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this commun	nication appears	s on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHICHEVER - Extensions of tim after SIX (6) MON - If NO period for re - Failure to reply w Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD F IS LONGER, FROM THE N e may be available under the provisions NTHS from the mailing date of this comi pely is specified above, the maximum s tithin the set or extended period for reply d by the Office later than three months m adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. tatutory period will ap y will, by statute, caus	OF THIS CO In no event, how ply and will expire se the application t	OMMUNICATION ever, may a reply be tim SIX (6) MONTHS from o become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠ Respons	sive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>10 Augus</i>	st 2004						
<u>'</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 August 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
′ =		<i>′</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of CI	aims	·							
4)⊠ Claim(s	1-84 is/are pending in the	application							
	Claim(s) <u>1-84</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
•	5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
) is/are objected to.								
) <u>1-84</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or elect	tion requirem	ent					
,			aon roganom						
Application Pape		_							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
•	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	t may not request that any obje			-					
<u>—</u>	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)∏ The oath	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (I closure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) il Date	PTO-948)	4)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/816,452 Page 2

Art Unit: 3626

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1 50, 81 and 82, drawn to predicting prescription pick up time, classified in class 705, subclass 3.
 - II. Claims 51 80, 83 and 84, drawn to determining persons required at each skill level, classified in class 705, subclass 8.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as predicting prescription pick up times. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Application/Control Number: 10/816,452 Page 3

Art Unit: 3626

3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention:
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to

Page 4

petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NEAL R. SEREBOFF whose telephone number is (571)270-1373. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon thru Thur from 7:30am to 5pm, with 1st Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Luke Gilligan can be reached on (571) 272-6770. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/816,452 Page 5

Art Unit: 3626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/N. R. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 3626 3/5/2009

/C. Luke Gilligan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3626